

PROPOSED RULE MAKING (RCW 34.05.320)

CR-102 (7/22/01) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

1889			i ule iliai	Nilig
Agency: Agriculture		Original Notice		
□ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was file		☐ Supplemental Notice	е	
	or	to WSR		
Expedited Rule Making Proposed notice was filed as WSR; or Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).		OI	☐ Continuance of WS	R
(a) Title of rule: (Describe Subject) Rules re	, ,	des containing the act	ive ingredient thismet	novam when
applied to pome fruits.	lated to the use of pesticit	des containing the act	ive ingredient tillamet	ioxaiii wiieii
Purpose: The proposed rules are inte fruits which have been treated with p				llinate pome
Other identifying information:				
(b) Statutory authority for adoption: RCWs 15	.58.040, 17.21.030 and 34.05 R	CW Statute being im	plemented: 15.58 RCW	and 17.21 RCW
(c) Summary: SEE ATTACHED		 		
Reasons supporting proposal: Honeybee containing the active ingredient thiamethorare highly toxic to honey bees and have a	oxam are used to treat pome	fruits. Products contain		
(d) Name of Agency Personnel Responsible 1. Drafting Erik Johansen				Telephone 360-902-2078
2. Implementation Cliff Weed	1111 Washington Street, Olympia, WA 1111 Washington Street, Olympia, WA			360-902-2036
3. Enforcement Cliff Weed		1111 Washington Street, Olympia, WA		360-902-2036
(e) Name of proponent (person or organization			esticide Management	☐ Private ☐ Public ☑ Governmental
(f) Agency comments or recommendations,	if any, as to statutory langua	ge, implementation, enf	orcement and fiscal matt	ers:
(g) Is rule necessary because of:				
Federal Law? Yes No If yes, ATTACH COPY OF TEXT				
Federal Court Decision?				
State Court Decision?	s 🔀 No			
(h) HEARING LOCATION:		Submit written comme	ents to:	
WSDA Office Second Floor Conference Roo	Laurie Mauerman			
21 North First Avenue		Washington State Dep		
Yakima, WA 98902	PO Box 42560 Olympia, WA 98504	E-mail Imauerman@	agr.wa.gov	
		FAX (360) 902-2093	By (date) 5:00 pm Feb	oruary 5, 2003
Date: February 4, 2003 Time: 6:00 pm	DATE OF INTENDED ADOPTION: February 11, 2003			
	CODE REVISER USE ONLY			
Assistance for persons with disabilities: Cont Laurie Mauerman by January 27, 2003				
TDD (360) <u>902-1996</u> or ()				
NAME (TYPE OR PRINT)				
Bob Arrington				
SIGNATURE				
TITLE	DATE			
Assistant Director January 2, 2003				

 (j) Short explanation of rule, its purpose, and anticipated effects: Makes pesticides containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam restricted use pesticides when labeled for use on pome fruits, including apples and pears. Establishes specific stages of bloom development when products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam can legally be applied to apples and pears. Prohibits the application of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam directly to blooming plants or allowing products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam to drift onto blooming plants. After an application of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam, a waiting period of at least 5 days must take place before placing the beehives in the treated orchard. Requires that any blooming plants or weeds be removed prior to the application of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam. Mowing, disking, mulching, flailing or applying a labeled herbicide may accomplish this. The proposed rule is intended to accomplish two primary purposes. One would be to address existing supplies of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam, which would not have the new label language. The second purpose would be to ensure there are rules in place if supplies of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam with the new label language are not available in time for the use season (early spring). The new rules will be identical in content to the newly proposed label language, which the registrant of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam has sent to EPA for approval. The proposed rules are intended to provide enhanced protection for honeybees when used to pollinate pome fruits that have been treated with products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam.
Does proposal change existing rules? ☐ YES ☐ NO If yes, describe changes:
The proposed rule is intended to accomplish two primary purposes. One would be to address existing supplies of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam, which would not have the new label language. The second purpose would be to ensure there are rules in place if supplies of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam with the new label language are not available in time for the use season (early spring). The new rules will be identical in content to the newly proposed label language, which the registrant of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam has sent to EPA for approval.
(k) Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?
☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement. A copy of the statement may be obtained by writing to:
telephoning: () faxing: () No. Explain why no statement was prepared. The registrant of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam has submitted label changes to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) that will provide enhanced protection of honey bees. The label changes are likely to be approved by EPA and should appear on products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam that are distributed in 2003. RCW 19.85.030 requires that a state agency must prepare a small business economic impact statement (SBEIS) if a proposed rule will impose a "more than minor cost" on the businesses in the regulated industry. WSDA has determined that the proposed rules will not impose a "more than minor cost" on regulated businesses because the proposed new WSDA rules will be identical in content to the newly proposed registrant label language, which the registrant has sent to EPA for approval. Because the proposed WSDA rules will be identical to the newly labeled products containing the active ingredient

thiamethoxam the cost impact to the users of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam is not "more than minor" and a SBEIS

☐ Yes

Please explain: The Washington State Department of Agriculture is not a listed agency in RCW 34.05(a)(i).

⊠ No

is not required.

(I) Does RCW 34.05.328 apply to this rule adoption?

(c) Summary:

During 2002, WSDA investigated 11 reports of honeybee kills that appear to be related to the use of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam in pome fruits. Honeybees are essential for the pollination of pome fruits and need enhanced protection. The registrant for products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam has submitted label changes to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which will provide enhanced protection of honeybees. The label changes are likely to be approved by EPA and the changes should appear on products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam distributed in 2003. The proposed rule is intended to accomplish two primary purposes. One would be to address existing supplies of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam, which would not have the new label language. The second purpose would be to ensure there are rules in place if supplies of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam with the new label language are not available in time for the use season (early spring). The new rules will be identical in content to the newly proposed label language, which the registrant of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam has sent to EPA for approval. NOTE: WSDA is not currently considering any restrictions which would prohibit the use of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam, rather restrictions are being proposed which will allow the use of products containing the active ingredient thiamethoxam while at the same time provide enhanced protection for pollinators.